√ Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1927.

File No. 19-27

Previous References
LB 119-26 S645, 54, 5965 (L.B)

Serial Nos. 124

Later References
LB 120-33-9, 10, 11, 12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 124.

Part B for July 1927.

SUBJECT

To increase the pay of the head clerk from 57-4-93 to Rs 80-4-100 as sanctioned in the case of Bangalore & Mysore districts.

The President- District Board Tumkur.

The Comptroller. L 646-7 dt 29.7.27.

Sanctions the increase of pay of the head clerk to Rs 80-4-100.

HMS
3.8.27.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. 2-75 File No. 19 of 192 7

Serial No.	
1	Letter No 236 dt 14.7.27 from the Pres. Dt Bd Tumkur: Requests sanction to the resolution of the Dt Bd to increase the pay of the Head Clerk from Rs 57-4-77 to Rs 80-4-100 as sanctioned in the case of Bangalore & Mysore Dists, the extra cost Rs 276 per annum being met out of the closing balance for 1927-28.
	In fixing the scale sanctioned in the G.O dt 21/28.12.26 one of the main regulating principles was to make the Dt Boards correspond to those existing in the Revenue & other Govt offices of the Dist. The President now states that the scale is inadequate to the important & heavy work turned out by the Head Clerk, that there is no reason to differentiate the Dist from the Bangalore & Mysore Dists as the Tumkur Dt Bd (has the largest volume of balances & that the volume

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2298—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

2

2222

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. A/B File No. 19 of 1927.

Serial No.

of work named out in the three lists to the Bombay.

2. The Municipal Board has not denied a good investment of Rs 46,750 but the budget estimates for 1927-28 (calculations of which are under issue) show that the normal expenditure is just within the normal income & the closing balance also is below the required minimum. The proposal to increase the pay of the Head Clerk from Rs 85-4-95 to Rs 85-4-100 is for merely

22/7

The Municipal Board is an important enough a fairly heavy volume of work. The proposal may be sanctioned. It is also not desirable for Govt. to interfere in this matter which may well be left to the discretion of the Municipal Board.

21/7

7/4/27

Asst Member)

Asst Secy

23/7

23/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. A 13 File No. 9 of 1927.

Serial No.	
4	Govt no 6467 dt 29.7.27. Sanction the increase of pay of the Head Clerk to Rs 80-4-160 & improvement of the Inspection establishment

B
30/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

G.C. 36 Mus 27-28

Deputy Commissioner and
Office of the President, of the District Board,
Dated, **Tumkur** the 14th July 1927.

222 L
14 JUL 1927

From

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esqr., B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner and **President, District Board,**
TUMKUR.

83 19-27 - 1

To

V. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

RD 16/7 Mus 10/7

I have the honour to state that, one clerk on Rs 45/- and one [illegible] 11/- out of the marginally noted existing staff of the President's office having been omitted to be noted in appendix B to Government Order No. L. 4074-90/Ml. 145-24-19 dated 31/28-12-26 giving details of the establishment, as revised, under Local bodies, the subject was placed before the standing Committee of the District Board for consideration at their meeting held on 25-4-27. Considering all the aspects of the question it was resolved that the scale shown in the appendix to the Government Order under advertance should be modified as noted in the margin.

57-4-77
45
40
35
30
25
15/-
[illegible] 11/- each.
[illegible] 10/-

30-1-[illegible] as in the case of Bangalore & Mysore districts
45/-
35/-
25/-
15/-
[illegible] 11/- each
10/-

A copy of the resolution is herein enclosed for reference.

2. The matter along with the resolution of the standing Committee was then placed before the District Board for approval or otherwise at their meeting held on 4-6-27, and was passed by having the resolution of the Standing Committee, a copy of which is also enclosed for ready reference.

3. But

5

Pgs 5

... Government order No. L. 7910-11/ ...-2-37 dated 20-4-37 approving of the minimum staff ... the District Board for efficient work ... Rs 11/- which had been omitted in the ... December 1936, and ... to be dropped so far as it relates ...

... only the question of the increase of ... from L. 57-4-77'10 to 60-4-80 as in ... clerks of the District Board offices ... (Vide resolutions of the Standing ... District Board)

... with the opinions and accordingly recommend ... of the Head clerk of this office from ... proposed, in view of the fact that ... to be any good reason to cause such dis-... there has been no distinction shown in the pay ... sections, such as, the District -- ... Head clerk, Revenue Head Assistant, Head Account ..., in the Revenue District offices in the State.

... to see that the financial condition of ... is very sound, with the largest closing balance at ... the District boards in the state (Rs 567530/- ... Savings Bank, and Rs 100000/- in cash in ... 1-7-37, excluding the railway cess collections ... invested and in the Treasury, and bears -- ... the total increase of expenditure proposed.

... not out of place to mention here that ... to be turned out in this office is almost ... the other two offices in view of the fact that ... the same line on which the other two -- ... work with regard to meetings etc., except ... slight increase in correspondence, if any, in ... District as it has a few Taluks more attached

attached to it.

The necessary proposition statement in duplicate is herein enclosed. It will be seen therefrom that the average increase -- applied for will be Rs. 23/- per mensem or Rs 276/- per annum which being a trifling sum may be met out of the probable savings under establishment charges provided in the current year's budget of -- the District Board.

7. Under the circumstances, I request that early sanction of Government may kindly be accorded for the rise of pay of the Head clerk District Board Office from Rs 57-4-77 to Rs 80-4-100 as proposed and approved by the District Board.

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

your most obedient servant,

for [illegible] 16.7.49

Deputy Commissioner & President,
District Board, Tumkur District.

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the Standing Committee, District Board, Tumkur, held on the 25th April 1927 at [illegible] A.M.

Subject No. V. Government Order No. L. 4074/M1. 14-24-19 dated 21/28-12-26 passing orders re: the establishment under Local Bodies and the office note thereon.

Resolution:- Approved as per office note, i.e., scale shown in the appendix B to the order should be modified as follows:- One Head clerk on 80-4-100, one clerk on P 45/-, one clerk on P 40/-, one clerk on P 35/-, one clerk on P 30/-, one clerk on P 25/-, one Bailiff on P 15/-, 3 peons on P 11/- each, and one peon on P 10/-.

" E x t r a c t "

Deputy Commissioner & President,
District Board, Tumkur District.

Extract from the proceedings of the 6th meeting of the District Board, Thunkur, held in the Deputy Commissioner's office on Saturday the 4th June 1927 at 8.30 A.M.

Subject No. 7. a) Government Order No. L. 4074/Rl.14-24-19 dated 21/28-11-26 passing orders re: the establishment under Local bodies for the office note thereon with the resolution of the Standing Committee.

b) Subsequent Government Order No. L. 7919-11/L.B. 119-26-1 dated 2-1-27 retaining the posts of clerk on ₹ 45/-, and [illegible] ₹ 11/-.

Resolution:- The resolution of the Standing Committee is approved.

"Extract".

Deputy Commissioner and President,
District Board, Thunkur District.

Statement of Proposition f

1	2	3	Nature of Charge									
			Present Scale					Proposed Scale				
					Pay						Pay	
	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average Cost	Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment
			4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			1	Head Clerk	57	4	77	72/-	1	Head Clerk	80	4
			1	Deputy Clerk	45	-	45	45/-	1	Dy Clerk	45	-
			1	III Clerk	40	-	40	40/-	1	III Clerk	40	-
			1	I Asstt	35	-	35	35/-	1	I Accountant	35	-
			1	II Asstt	30	-	30	30/-	1	II Accountant	30	-
			1	IV Clerk	25	-	25	25/-	1	IV Clerk	25	-
			1	Temporary Clerk since sanctioned by Govt for one year	45	-	45	45/-	1	Temporary Clerk since sanctioned by Govt for one year	45	-
			1	Munshi	15	-	15	15	1	Munshi	15	-
			3	Peons	11 each	-	11 each	33	3	Peons	11 each	
			1	Peon	10		10	10	1	Peon	10	
				Total	343	4	333	350		Total	336	4

30th April 1927

Office of the President, Districts Boards Tumkur

L 7910 - 11 Rs 119 - 26 - 65

or Revision of Establishment.

Maximum	Average Cost	Proposition: Permanent — Increase per month	Proposition: Permanent — Decrease per month	Proposition: Temporary — Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary — Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary — Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition.	Number and date of Council's resolution.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
100	95/-	23	-	.	.	.	.	To keep the pay of the head clerk in par with the pay of the head clerks in the District Board offices of Bangalore and oppose on the ground that there is no reason to make any distinction the as per letter attached.	District Board Resolution no 7 Dated 4th June 97.
45	45/-	-	-	.	.	.	.		
40	40/-	-	-	.	.	.	.		
35	35/-	-	-	.	.	.	.		
30	30/-	-	-	.	.	.	.		
25	25/-	-	-	.	.	.	.		
45	45/-	.	.	.	.	.	.		
15	15	.	.	.	.	.	.		
[illegible]	33								
10	10								
356	373	23 per month or Rs 276/- per Annum.							

President
Dist Board

10

G.R.S. VI G 31]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section L 13

File No. 19-27

Serial No. 4 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue... 27-4-27

Signed by... Mer ds Venkatagiriyappa

Maj 7

Read— G.o no L 4034-90 dt 18-2-19 & 26 dt 29 Dec 1926 sanctioning a revised scale for the establishments of the Local Boards in the State.

2. G.o no. 7910-11 L13 119-26 dt 30.4.27 sanctioning the minimum staff proposed for the Local Boards

Order Thereon—No.

3. Letter no. G.C. 36 B 4-7 from the Deputy Commr of Bangalore forwarding for sanction the resolution of the D.B. Bangalore passed at its meeting held on 28.4.27 recommending

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ? May 5

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Pl.

11

[illegible] The ~~Scale~~ basis of the
[illegible] scale of the Bangalore
[illegible] has been fixed at
Rs. 55 4 0 5 in the first order
[illegible] above, pay fee
[illegible] increased to Rs 80-4-160
[illegible] In the case of the Bangalore
[illegible] Board ~~Statement~~ forwarding for approval a supplementary
~~Rs 2 Rs 27 6 p.a~~ Statement showing the
the extra cost will be met revised scale of the Staff of
[illegible] of the probable Savings the Board & stating that
[illegible] under establishment charges
[illegible] the current years budget
[illegible] the Commissioner of Bangalore
[illegible] 1916-7 Etc Statement

The recommendation
[illegible] the Bd to revise the
[illegible] of the Head Clerk &
Rs 80-4-160 Senior Scale Head,
the extra cost being met
[illegible] proposed ~~above~~ of the proposed
[illegible] Statement is accordingly

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

[illegible]

The Pay of the Commissioner
[illegible] complied with a copy
of the Pay Statement

WD 1868—GPB—1,600,00—21-12-21